

# SOLUBILITY OF SYSTEMS OF QUADRATIC FORMS

GREG MARTIN

It has been known since the last century that a single quadratic form in at least five variables has a nontrivial zero in any  $p$ -adic field, but the analogous question for systems of quadratic forms remains unanswered. It is plausible that the number of variables required for solubility of a system of quadratic forms simply is proportional to the number of forms; however, the best result to date, from an elementary argument of Leep [6], is that the number of variables needed is at most a quadratic function of the number of forms. The purpose of this paper is to show how these elementary arguments can be used, in a certain class of fields including the  $p$ -adic fields, to refine the upper bound for the number of variables needed to guarantee solubility of systems of quadratic forms. This result partially addresses Problem 6 of Lewis' survey article [7] on Diophantine problems.

By a nontrivial zero of a system of forms  $f_1, \dots, f_t \in F[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ , we mean a nonzero element  $\mathbf{a}$  of  $F^n$  such that  $f_j(\mathbf{a}) = 0$  simultaneously for  $1 \leq j \leq t$ . We let  $u_F(t)$  denote the supremum of those positive integers  $n$  for which there exist  $t$  quadratic forms over  $F$  in  $n$  variables with no nontrivial zero. In other words, assuming  $u_F(t) < \infty$ , any set of  $t$  quadratic forms in  $F[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ , with  $n > u_F(t)$ , will have a nontrivial zero (equivalently, a projective zero, since the forms are homogeneous), while this property does not hold for  $n = u_F(t)$ . We may now state our main theorem.

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $F$  be a field, and suppose that for some positive integer  $m$ , we have*

$$u_F(m) = mu_F(1). \quad (1)$$

*Then*

$$u_F(t) \leq \frac{1}{2}(t(t-m+2) + \tau(m-\tau))u_F(1), \quad (2)$$

*where  $\tau$  is the unique integer satisfying  $1 \leq \tau \leq m$  and  $\tau \equiv t \pmod{m}$ .*

We remark that for any  $1 \leq r \leq t$ , we always have the lower bound

$$u_F(t) \geq u_F(r) + u_F(t-r), \quad (3)$$

for if  $f_i(x_1, \dots, x_{u_F(r)})$  ( $1 \leq i \leq r$ ) and  $g_j(y_1, \dots, y_{u_F(t-r)})$  ( $1 \leq j \leq t-r$ ) are systems of quadratic forms with no nontrivial zeros, then we can combine the two systems and the two sets of variables to yield a system of  $t$  quadratic forms in  $u_F(r) + u_F(t-r)$  variables with no nontrivial zeros. In particular, equation (3) readily implies that for all  $t \geq 1$ , we have

$$u_F(t) \geq tu_F(1). \quad (4)$$

Thus the hypothesis (1) of Theorem 1 is a natural one, representing the best-possible situation for systems of  $m$  quadratic forms.

In fact, if  $F$  is a local field (a finite extension either of  $\mathbf{Q}_p$  for some prime  $p$ , or of  $k((T))$  for some finite field  $k$ ), Hasse [4] has shown that  $u_F(1) = 4$  (see Lam [5] for an exposition),

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11D72.

and Demjanov [3] has shown that  $u_F(2) = 8$  (a simpler proof has been provided by Birch, Lewis, and Murphy [2]). Thus the following corollary of Theorem 1 is immediate.

**Corollary 1.1.** *Let  $F$  be a local field. Then*

$$u_F(t) \leq \begin{cases} 2t^2 + 2, & t \text{ odd}; \\ 2t^2, & t \text{ even}. \end{cases}$$

It has also been shown by Birch and Lewis [1], with a correction and refinement by Schuur [8], that whenever  $p \geq 11$ , we have  $u_{\mathbf{Q}_p}(3) = 12$ . Therefore we can again apply Theorem 1 to obtain the following corollary, which is superior to Corollary 1.1 for these primes.

**Corollary 1.2.** *Let  $p \geq 11$  be prime. Then*

$$u_{\mathbf{Q}_p}(t) \leq \begin{cases} 2t^2 - 2t + 4, & t \not\equiv 0 \pmod{3}; \\ 2t^2 - 2t, & t \equiv 0 \pmod{3}. \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

The methods employed in this paper are a modest refinement of those of Leep [6], who has shown that  $u_F(t) \leq 1/2t(t+1)u_F(1)$  for arbitrary fields  $F$ , and also that  $u_{\mathbf{Q}_p}(t) \leq 2t^2 + 2t - 4$  (for  $t \geq 2$ ) for every prime  $p$ . Because the argument is brief and completely elementary, we may provide an essentially self-contained proof of Theorem 1.

It is a pleasure to thank Trevor Wooley and Hugh Montgomery for their suggestions on improving this paper and for their guidance in general. This material is based upon work supported under a National Science Foundation Graduate Research Fellowship.

## 1. PRELIMINARY LEMMAS

Let  $u_F^{(d)}(t)$  denote the supremum of those positive integers  $n$  for which there exist  $t$  quadratic forms over  $F$  in  $n$  variables whose set of solutions contain no  $(d+1)$ -dimensional subspace of  $F^n$ . In other words, any set of  $t$  quadratic forms in  $F[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ , with  $n > u_F^{(d)}(t)$ , will have a  $(d+1)$ -dimensional subspace of simultaneous zeros (or, equivalently, a  $d$ -dimensional subspace of projective zeros), while this property does not hold for  $n = u_F^{(d)}(t)$ . For instance, we have  $u_F^{(0)}(t) = u_F(t)$ .

The following two lemmas can be found in Leep [6]; we provide proofs for the sake of completeness.

**Lemma 2.** *For any field  $F$ , and for all positive integers  $k < t$ , we have*

$$u_F(t) \leq u_F^{(u_F(k))}(t-k).$$

**Proof:** Let  $n > u_F^{(u_F(k))}(t-k)$ , and let  $f_1, \dots, f_t$  be quadratic forms over  $F$  in  $n$  variables. To establish the lemma, it suffices to show that these forms have a nontrivial zero in  $F^n$ . By the definition of  $u_F^{(u_F(k))}(t-k)$ , the system  $f_1, \dots, f_{t-k}$  of  $t-k$  quadratic forms has a  $(u_F(k)+1)$ -dimensional subspace  $S$  of zeros. By parametrizing  $S$  with variables  $y_1, \dots, y_{u_F(k)+1}$ , we may consider the restrictions of the forms  $f_{t-k+1}, \dots, f_t$  to  $S$  as quadratic forms in  $u_F(k)+1$  variables. Now by the definition of  $u_F(k)$ , these forms have a nontrivial zero in  $S$ , and so the forms  $f_1, \dots, f_t$  have a nontrivial zero in  $F^n$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 3.** *For any field  $F$ , and for all positive integers  $t$  and  $d$ , we have*

$$u_F^{(d)}(t) \leq u_F^{(d-1)}(t) + t + 1.$$

**Proof:** Let  $n > u_F^{(d-1)}(t) + t + 1$ , and let  $f_1, \dots, f_t$  be quadratic forms over  $F$  in  $n$  variables. To establish the lemma, it suffices to show that  $F^n$  contains a  $(d+1)$ -dimensional subspace of zeros for these forms. Since  $n > u_F^{(d-1)}(t) \geq u_F(t)$ , we can certainly find a nontrivial zero for the forms  $f_1, \dots, f_t$ , which generates a 1-dimensional subspace  $T$  of zeros of these forms. By making a linear change of variables, we may assume that  $T$  is spanned by the vector  $(0, \dots, 0, 1)$ . For each  $1 \leq j \leq t$ , we may write

$$f_j(x_1, \dots, x_n) = x_n^2 f_j(0, \dots, 0, 1) + x_n L_j(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) + Q_j(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}), \quad (6)$$

where the  $L_j$  and  $Q_j$  are linear and quadratic forms, respectively, in  $n-1$  variables (here we are identifying  $T^\perp$  with  $F^{n-1}$ ). But we are under the assumption that each  $f_j(0, \dots, 0, 1)$  equals 0, and elementary linear algebra allows us to find a subspace  $S$  of  $F^{n-1}$  of codimension  $t$  on which the  $t$  linear forms  $L_1, \dots, L_t$  all vanish identically. Again we parametrize  $S$  by variables  $y_1, \dots, y_{n-t-1}$  and consider the restrictions of the forms  $Q_1, \dots, Q_t$  to  $S$  as quadratic forms in  $n-t-1 > u_F^{(d-1)}(t)$  variables. By the definition of  $u_F^{(d-1)}(t)$ , we may find a  $d$ -dimensional subspace  $U$  of  $S$  consisting of zeros of the forms  $Q_1, \dots, Q_t$ . We now see from (6) that  $U \oplus T$  is a  $(d+1)$ -dimensional subspace of zeros of the original forms  $f_1, \dots, f_t$ .  $\square$

## 2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1

We begin by making some remarks that hold in any field  $F$ , without the hypothesis (1) of Theorem 1. Using Lemma 2 together with several applications of Lemma 3, we see that

$$u_F(t) \leq u_F^{(u_F(k))}(t-k) \leq u_F(t-k) + (t-k+1)u_F(k).$$

Therefore, for any positive integer  $r$  such that  $rk < t$ , we have

$$u_F(t) \leq u_F(t-rk) + \sum_{i=1}^r (t-ik+1)u_F(k). \quad (7)$$

Thus we have established a bound for  $u_F(t)$  in terms of  $u_F(j)$  for small values of  $j$ . In fact this is precisely the approach in Leep [6], with the choices  $k=1$  and  $r=t-1$ , so that the final bound is in terms of  $u_F(1)$  alone. One can also choose  $r=t-2$  and obtain a bound for  $u_F(t)$  in terms of  $u_F(1)$  and  $u_F(2)$ , which will be better if the value of  $u_F(2)$  is known to be small.

However, for fields  $F$  that satisfy the hypothesis (1) for some positive integer  $m$ , it turns out to be more beneficial to take  $k=m$  in the bound (7). We choose  $r$  to make  $t-rk$  as small as possible while still positive: if we let  $\tau$  be the integer satisfying  $1 \leq \tau \leq m$  and  $\tau \equiv t \pmod{m}$ , then  $r = (t-\tau)/m$ . With these choices, equation (7) becomes

$$u_F(t) \leq u_F(\tau) + \frac{t-\tau}{2m}(t-m+\tau+2)u_F(m). \quad (8)$$

We claim that  $u_F(m) = mu_F(1)$  forces  $u_F(\tau) = \tau u_F(1)$  as well, since by the lower bounds (3) and (4), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\tau u_F(1) &\leq u_F(\tau) \leq u_F(m) - u_F(m - \tau) \\ &\leq mu_F(1) - (m - \tau)u_F(1) = \tau u_F(1).\end{aligned}$$

Substituting these expressions in the bound (8) gives us

$$u_F(t) \leq \tau u_F(1) + \frac{t - \tau}{2m}(t - m + \tau + 2)mu_F(1),$$

which is the same as the bound (2). This establishes the theorem.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] B. J. Birch and D. J. Lewis, *Systems of three quadratic forms*, Acta Arith. **10** (1964–1965), 423–442.
- [2] B. J. Birch, D. J. Lewis, and T. G. Murphy, *Simultaneous quadratic forms*, Amer. J. Math. **84** (1962), 110–115.
- [3] V. B. Demjanov, *Pairs of quadratic forms over a complete field with discrete norm with a finite field of residue classes*, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Ser. Mat. **20** (1956), 307–324.
- [4] H. Hasse, *Über die Darstellbarkeit von Zahlen durch quadratische Formen im Körper der rationalen Zahlen*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **152** (1923), 129–148.
- [5] T. Y. Lam, *The algebraic theory of quadratic forms*, Benjamin/Cummings Publishing Co., Inc., Reading, Mass., 1973.
- [6] D. B. Leep, *Systems of quadratic forms*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **350** (1984), 109–116.
- [7] D. J. Lewis, *Diophantine problems: Solved and unsolved*, Number Theory and Applications (R. A. Mollin, ed.), Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1989, pp. 103–121.
- [8] S. E. Schuur, *On systems of three quadratic forms*, Acta Arith. **36** (1980), 315–322.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, INSTITUTE FOR ADVANCED STUDY, OLDEN LANE, PRINCETON, NJ 08540, U.S.A.

*E-mail address:* gerg@math.ias.edu